Different worlds of welfare in a heterogeneous country: The Brazilian Case.

Helena Cruz Castanheira Eduardo L. G. Rios-Neto

1. Introduction

Brazilian inequality is higher than 95% of the world countries with data available. The income earned by the top 1% population is equal to the income of the bottom 50% (Barros and Carvalho 2006). Household income differences are translated into a different mix of public-private social service use across the population. Despite the universal rights guaranteed by the 1988 Constitution, coverage rates of public health, education and cash transfers vary considerably among segments in the society. The public sector coexists with a high quality private system of social services.

A recent application of the three Esping-Andersen (1990) worlds of welfare to the Brazilian case regarding social policies (Kerstenetzky 2010) suggested that Brazil is a mix of Esping-Andersen's three worlds. It is "Social-Democrat" due to the importance of the public provision to the population, "Conservatist" regarding Social Security and "Liberal" due to the strong private sector restricted to high income individuals. In terms of labor market guarantees, Filgueira (2007) highlights the expressive sum of workers in Latin America in general with no rights at all. The labor law that guarantee social security, maternity leave and health insurance only to formal workers. However, more than 50% of the labor force are in the informal labor market and has no protection at all. This phenomenon is also called the "truncated" welfare state by Lindert, Skoufias e Shapiro (2006).

This case study of Brazil aims to identify the mix of public-private provision to individuals in the classical areas of state interventions (health, education, social security and welfare programs), segmented by age, sex, and other dimensions. Latent profiles are identified for each life course stage as defined by individual classification in age groups sometimes interacted with sex(0-5, 6-17, 18-24: females and males, 25-59: females and males, 60+: females and males). Four latent profiles are estimated for each group of individuals applying the Grade of Membership (GOM) technique, which allow fuzzy clustering and inform the predominant characteristic of each individual profile. Results indicate that social coverage, the public and market divide, and income inequality interact with the individuals' life cycle to display a complex web of coverage (and lack of coverage) of the relevant social risks. The paper suggests that Esping-Andersen's typology is relevant, but it has to be complemented by by other measures to adjust to a highly unequal society.

2. Data and Method

2.1 Data and Variables

The database is the National Consumer Expenditure Survey (POF) 2008-2009, from the Brazilian National Institute of Statistics (IBGE). This survey was preferred over the National Household Survey (PNAD) because it had information about household or individual expenditures on education, health, Social Security, and it also included detailed income and tax payment information. The total sample size is 190,159 individuals and 55,970 households. Table 1 contains the sample size of each sample used to estimate the latent profiles.

Tabl	e 1
------	-----

Age group	Sex	Sample Size
0 - 5	Female and Male	17,770
6 - 17	Female and Male	43,136
10.04	Female	11,848
10-24	Male	11,704
25 50	Female	44,467
20-09	Male	41,120
60 or more	Female	10,903
	Male	9,211
Total Sample		190,159

Sample Sizes

Source: Brazilian Consumer Expenditure Survey 2008-2009 - IBGE.

The variables were chosen to relate with three main themes based on Esping-Andersen's framework (1990 and 1999). The first one refers to "decommodification" which means the extent individuals do not depend on the exercise of their earning capacity in the market to generate income or to pay for the provision of state services. We use the following variables: public conditional cash transfer program, public retirement fund, public education and public health. The second, "commodification", is related to what extent the individuals generate their earning in the labor market and buy the provision of services in the market. The variables used are type of employment (formal or informal), private health, education and social security. Finally, "familization" is a concept to analyze in what extent the family follows a traditional breadwinner labor division measured by the type of family arrangement, women's occupation, and the care of family members.

In Figure 1 we shortly summarize the theoretical framework adopted in this paper. Although individual life cycle and life courses are influential, the paper does not suggest a longitudinal analysis, this dimension is captured by the stratification of individuals in age groups. The analysis is not focused on causality or the determination of transitions in the life course, on the contrary, our purpose is only descriptive and cross-sectional. Our goal is to "take a picture" of Brazilian society in 2008-2009 in terms of individuals profiles regarding the public-

private-family mix of social provision. Given the heterogeneity of the society we expect to find very different characteristics across individuals in selected age groups.



Figure 1

Source: Author elaboration from reviewed literature.

Some variables were classified at the individual level and others at the household level. At the individual level the variables contribute to estimate the profiles are: age, education attendance, type of school (public or private), total years of schooling, employment status, type of employment (formal or informal), occupation and a dummy if the person has at least one surviving child. Informal employment is attributed to individuals that don't pay any type of taxes to the State (Federal, Government or Local).

At the household level the variables contribute to calculate: type of family arrangement (one person, one parent, couple one income with at least one child, couple one income with no child, couple double income with at least one child, couple double income with no child and other), per capita household expenditure quintile, expenditure with education, domestic services, medicaments, health insurance, hospitalization and medical consultations. These household expenditures have each three categories: no expenditure, people in households with the higher 25% expenditures, and finally, the other 75% of the people with some expenditure in the particular category analyzed. All household level variables are merged to all individuals living in the household in order to pursue their classification.

An additional household level variable is an indicator if the family receives public transfers from conditional cash transfer programs and from social security. To individuals in the age group 0-5 and 6-17 their mother's information were merged in their individual file to generate their profile. The information used is mother's age, total years of schooling and type of employment (mother do not work, Private, Public, Rural, Domestic and Unpaid Employee, Employer, Self-Employed or household without a mother). Those are not household variables, but they may affect the individual directly since it is related to the mother care availability and the precariousness of her labor.

Finally, we will explain the selection of the age group boundaries and how they were selected since they are not the conventional boundaries used in demographic data analysis. The age group from 0 to 5 and 6 to 17 were differentiated due to their differences in the demand for care and provision of educational services. There is a higher demand for a more intensive care in the first group. The mandatory enrollment in Brazil during 2008-2009 started at age 6 (based on Law number 11,274 from 2/6/2006) and this is the main reason for the lower boundary of the second group. The upper bound of the second group is given the expected age to complete secondary education. Other non-obvious distinction is the separation of the young adults (18 to 24 years old) from the adults (25-59). This division was based on the fact that young adults are mainly entering the labor force and or finishing college during this stage, this is also the period of family formation. Adult individuals are usually more consolidated in the labor market and in their careers and present other stages in family composition (See Steiber and Haas (2006) for life course stage transitions and the labor force). The age limit of the adult individual to become old aged (60 year) is in common sense unreal and low; however it is based on the median retirement age of the Brazilian population and it is an average of the different retirement age rules of the Private Pensions System (RGPS).

2.2 Method

The Grade of Membership Model (GOM) is based on the fuzzy set theory (Manton, Woodbury e Tolley 1994) in which for each element i is attributed a grade of membership (gik) that stands for the proportion that the individual i pertain to the k set. For each individual i the $\Sigma g_{ik} = 1$. If, for all the elements of a set $g_{ik} = 0$ or 1 then the set will be crispy and the individual will belong to only one ideal profile or set k. Otherwise the individual will belong to a fuzzy set or profile. Thus, one individual can belong to more than one sets or profiles, varying by their grade of membership on The advantage of using the Grade of Membership model to each profile. parameterize fuzzy sets or profiles over Fuzzy K-Mean (SPSS) and FANNY (R program) is that GOM gives the probabilities that a specific variable category will pertain to a set or not (Guedes et al 2010). Therefore, not only the grade of membership of each individual for set 1 is created, but also a probability distribution of each variable of the set. In this way the latent characteristics of each profile can be easily identified which helps the interpretation and naming of these ideal profiles or sets.

The maximum-likelihood estimation (MLE) method is used to estimate the following equation:

$$\Pr(\text{Yijl} = 1,0) = \prod_{i=1,0}^{I} \prod_{j=1,0}^{I} \prod_{l=1,0}^{L_{i}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} g_{ik} * \lambda_{kjl}\right)^{\text{yijl}}$$
(1)

It maximizes the probability of a discrete response (Yijl) to the category I, variable j, in the profile k for the individual i (Woodbury and Clive 1974). This probability is given by the sum of multiplication of the grade of membership (g_{ik}) and the probabilities in each variable category (λ_{kjl}). The number of profiles is defined by the researcher, we decided for four profiles because it had the smallest AIC (Akaike Information Criterion). Two, three, four and five profiles were tested for each dataset (Table 1). After obtaining the values of g_{ik} and λ_{kjl} we estimate the Lambda Marginal Frequency Ratio (LMFR) for each category. This measure helps to identify the main characteristics for each profile or its latent characteristics.

$$LMFR = \frac{\lambda_{kjl}}{(N_{kjl})^{-Nkj}}$$
(2)

 N_{kjl} : number of observations in the category N_{kl} : number of observations in the variable j

A fuzzy cluster of individuals can be assembled using coding of individuals and Boolean expressions. We adopted the Boolean expressions below (Sawyer, Leite and Alexandrino, 2002) to classify the individuals. The individual will pertain to a profile k if $g_{ik} \ge 0.70$ with k = 1.2.3 or 4 or if:

$$(0,6 \le g_{ik} < 0,7) \cap \begin{cases} g_{im} + g_{in} \le 0,3 & k \ne m \ne n \ne o \\ g_{im} + g_{io} \le 0,3 & \text{with} & and \\ g_{in} + g_{io} \le 0,3 & k / m / n / o = 1,2,3,4 \end{cases}$$

The mixed profiles are given by:

 $0,60 \le g_{ik} \le 0, 70 \cap 0,3 \le g_{iz} \le 0,4$ or $0,40 \le g_{ik} \le 0, 50 \cap 0,4 \le g_{iz} \le 0,5$ With k \ne z e k / z = 1, 2, 3, 4

A GOM estimation was performed for each sample group described in Table 1. Although each sample entail different profile estimations, the latent characteristics of the four ideal profiles could entail similar names in all samples, a point that facilitated the interpretation of the results on an integrated fashion. The majority of individuals in the population belonged to one of the four ideal profiles, while only 19% of the total population sample belonged to a mixed profile.

3. Results

The profiles in each age group were characterized or named by their latency as "Family", "State", "Market" or "Vulnerable". Those titles help to identify individuals that belong purely to which "welfare triad" (family, state, or market).. The

characteristics listed are the ones that are more relevant for each profile but they are not necessarily present in all individuals classified in that profile.

The profile "Vulnerable" is a profile with no typical characteristic of family, state, or market, that is to say, none of these characteristics is representative of the profile.. The individual in this profile can receive conditional cash transfer program, "Bolsa Família", from the State but it is not clearly fitted in the "State" profile. Among the two young group of individuals, this profile was largely characterized by the type of mother's employment (Age 0-5 and 6-17). Among young and mature adults (Age 18-24 and 25-59) the profile is captured by "informal" or "uncovered" labor with no social guarantee of the labor law (no formal vacation, maternity leave, among other labor rights).

Figures 2 to 9 summarize the results individuals classified on the four ideal profiles for each of the 8 samples with a GOM estimation. The individuals classified as mixed are not included in these figures. The shaded area in each profile column highlights the typical variables describing the latent traits of the profile. In Figure 2 are the results to the 0 to 5 years age group. In the "Vulnerable" profile both parents work in the informal labor market, but individuals do not attend a private or public pre-school. They probably rely on informal care networks. This profile suggests vulnerability among the children in the 0 to 5 age group.

The profile with higher percentage of individuals from 0 to 5 years old is the "Family" profile (39.6%). Most mothers in this profile do not work. The "Market" profilepresents the lowest frequency of individuals (12.10%). It is marked by individuals that go to private preschool or childcare and with their mothers working with a contract (formal worker). Mothers in "market" have all the benefits of the Brazilian Labor Legislation (Maternity Leave, Vacations among others).

Figure 2 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 0 to 5 years old in Brazil 2008-2009

Variables			Profiles				
variables			Family	Market	State	Vulnerable	
	One Person H	H					
	One Parent wi	th Child					
	Couple One In	come no Child					
Family Arrangements	Couple One In	come with Child					
	Couple Double	Income no Child					
	Couple Double	Income with Child					
	Other Family A	Arrangement					
	Day Care or P	re-School					
Course Currently	Primary						
	Secondary						
Frequenting	College or Univ	versity					
	None						
	None, or never	attended school					
Total Matharia	1 to 4	******	******				
Cabaaling	5 to 8						
Schooling	9 to 11		***************************************				
(In years of Study)	12 or more						
	No mother in t	he HH					
	Pensions: 1 M	inimum Wage					
	Pensions: Other Values			~~~~~~			
	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted						
01-1-1	on children (Bolsa Família)						
State	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted						
	on th elderly (BPC)						
	Education	······					
	Health	*****	******				
	Private Pensio	n Plan					
	Education		<40%		<40%		
		Medicaments					
Market	11	Health Insurance					
	Health	Hospitalization					
		Appointments				<20%	
	Care						
Family Care	Care						
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile		2 and 3	3, 4 and 5	2	2	
Mother Labor Contract			Do not Work	Formal Work	Informal Work	Informal Work	
Mother Age Category			6-17, 60+	25-59	No mother	18-24	
Mother Ocupation	Private Employ	/ee					
	Public Employ	ee					
	Domestic Emp	bloyee					
	Rural Tempora	ry Employee					
	Employer						
	Self-Employed						
	Apprentice, Ur	npaid or Own-					
	Do not work						
Relative Frequency			39.60%	12.10%	13.10%	18.50%	

Source: Authors elaboration.

The literature on early childhood development suggests that this vulnerability may lead to long term adverse consequences for these children in terms of acquired cognitive knowledge. The variable "public education" appears as a characteristic of the vulnerable profile (18.5%), but since we area talking about

children, this may be associated with other members of the household using public education.¹

Variables		Profiles				
			State	Market	Family	Vulnerable
	One Person HH					
	One Parent with	Child				
Family Arrangements	Couple One Inco	me no Child				
	Couple One Inco	me with Child				
	Couple Double Ir	ncome no Child				
	Couple Double Ir	ncome with Child				
	Other Family Arr	angement				
	Dav Care or Pre-	-School				
	Primarv					
Course Currently	Secondary					
Frequenting	College or Univer	rsitv				
	None					
	None or never a	ttended school				
Total Mother's	5 to 8					
Schooling	0 to 11					
(in years of Study)	12 or more					
	No mothor in the					
	Ponsions: 1 Min					
	Pensions: Other					
	Conditional Cook Transfer torgeted					
	Conditional Casi					
State	Creditional Cook Transfortemented					
	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted					
	on th elderly (BPC)					
	Health					
	Private Pension	Pian				100/
	Education	K A 1 ¹			<40%	<40%
Manhard		Medicaments				
Market	Health	Health Insurance				
		Hospitalization				
		Appointments	<20%			<20%
	Care					
Family Care	Care					
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile		2 and 3	3, 4 and 5	2 and 3	2
Mother Labor Contract			Formal Work	Formal Work	Do not Work	Informal Work
Mother Age Category			18-24		6-17, 18-24, 60+	18-24
Mother Ocupation	Private Employe	e				
	Public Employee	9				
	Domestic Emplo	yee				
	Rural Temporary	Employee				
	Employer					
	Self-Employed					
	Apprentice, Unpa	aid or Own-				
	Do not work					
Relative Frequency			12.70%	11.80%	33.10%	24.00%
Source: Authors elaboration.						

Figure 3 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 6 to 17 years old in Brazil 2008-2009

¹ It is important to reemphasize that State, Market and Family characteristics are based on family overall use of these types of services.

In Figure 3 we have summarized the results to the 6 to 17 age group. A general feature in this sample is the high coverage of public education, represented by the fact that public education is not representative of any profile in particular. The vulnerable families have health related coverage problems, with a large percentage of household income spent in medical and dental consultations and exams. The public health system stresses hospitalizations with underdeveloped primary care for this group,.

Variables			Profiles			
Variables			Market	Family	Vulnerable	Market2*
	One Person H	Н				
	One Parent wit	th Child				
	Couple One Inc	come no Child				
Family Arrangements	Couple One Ind	come with Child				
	Couple Double	Income no Child				
	Couple Double	Income with Child				
	Other Family A					
	Day Care or Pi	re-School				
	Primary					
Course Currently	Secondary					
Frequenting	College or Univ	versitv				
	None	loioity				
	None or never	attended school				
	1 to 4					
Total Schooling	5 to 8					
(in years of Study)	9 to 11					
	12 or more					
	Pensions: 1 M	inimum Wage		_		
	Pensions: Oth	er Values				
	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted					
	on children (Bolsa Família)					
State	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted					
	on the olderly (RPC)					
		DF ()				
	Education					
	nealth					
	Private Pensio	n Plan				
	Education					<40%
		Medicaments				
Market	Health	Health Insurance				<50%
	Tieaitti	Hospitalization				<80%
		Appointments		<20%		
	Care					<70%
Family Care	Care					
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile		4 and 5	1 and 2	1 and 2	3 and 4
Type of Labor Contrac	t			Do not Work	Informal	Formal
Ocupation	Private Employ	/ee				
	Public Employ	ee				
	Domestic Emp	oloyee				
	Rural Tempora	ry Employee				
	Employer					
	Self-Employed					
	Apprentice, Ur	paid or Own-				
	Do not work					
Parent Status			Not a mother		Mother	
Relative Frequency			12.30%	38.60%	17.80%	14.20%

Figure 4 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 18 to 24 years old Females in Brazil 2008-2009

Figures 4 and 5 summarize the results for the 18 to 24 age group for females and males. This age group marks the transition to adulthood, a crucial stage to define social mobility and subsequent life course quality. There are two groups of young adults relying on the market. A group relies extensively on family resources to continue studies (Market Profile). Another profile relies in the market to the provision of health and of care, the individuals in this profile are head of households, and they work in low or middle position occupations (Market2). The Family profile is differentiated among men and women. Men and women do not work, but while the women already have children, men usually live with their parents. Finally, the most vulnerable families in this age group rely on conditional cash programs, work in the informal market, generally have children.

Variables			Profiles			
14.140.000			Market2*	Family	Vulnerable	Market
	One Person H	H				
	One Parent with Child					
Family Arrangements	Couple One In	come no Child				
	Couple One In	come with Child				
	Couple Double	e Income no Child				
	Couple Double	e Income with Child				
	Other Family	Arrangement				
	Dav Care or P	re-School				
	Primary					
Course Currently	Secondary					
Frequenting	College or Uni	versitv				
	None	voloity				
	None or neve	r attended school				
	1 to 4					
Total Schooling	5 to 9					
(in years of Study)	0 to 11					
	9 to 11					
	12 or more	A				
	Pensions: 1 IV	iinimum wage				
	Pensions: Oth	ner Values				
	Conditional Ca	ash Iransfer targeted				
State	on children (Bolsa Familia)					
	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted					
	on th elderly (BPC)					
	Education					
	Health					
	Private Pensio	n Plan				
	Education		~40%	~40%		
	Eddoation	Medicaments	\$1070	1070		
Market		Health Insurance				
	Health	Hospitalization	~80%			
			NOD 70			
	Caro	Арроннинениз	~70%			
Family Care	Care		<1078			
Hu nor conito Expondi			2 and 4	1 and 2	1 and 2	1 and 5
Turna of Labor Control			5 anu 4	Do not Work		4 anu 5
Opuration	Drivete Freedo		Foimai	DO NOL WOIK	Inioimai	
ocupation	Private Emplo	yee				
		/ee				
	Domestic Em	pioyee				
	Rural Tempora	ary Employee				
	Employer					
	Self-Employed	2				
	Apprentice, U	npaid or Own-				
	Do not work					
Parent Status			Father		Father	
Relative Frequency			23.90%	22.90%	19.20%	12.20%
Source: Authors elaboration						

Figure 5 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 18 to 24 years old Males in Brazil 2008-2009

Figures 6 and 7 summarize the age group 25 to 59 years old. The characteristics of family and social provision in this age group are highly related to the individual type of occupation, and whether the person is in the formal or informal sector. This link between social provision and working life is typical of this life cycle stage. The gender differentiation of the profiles is associated with family arrangements. One parent families are headed by women.

Variables	-		Profiles			
			Vulnerable	Market	Family	State
	One Person H	H				
	One Parent wit	th Child				
	Couple One In	come no Child				
Family Arrangements	Couple One In	come with Child				
	Couple Double	Income no Child				
	Couple Double	Income with Child				
	Other Family A	Arrangement				
	Day Care or P	re-School				
Course Currently	Primary					
Frequenting	Secondary					
	College or Univ	versity				
	None					
	None, or never	attended school				
Total Schooling	1 to 4					
(in years of Study)	5 to 8					
() • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9 to 11					
	12 or more					
	Pensions: 1 M	linimum Wage				
	Pensions: Oth	er Values				
	Conditional Ca	sh Transfer targeted				
e	on children (Bo	olsa Família)				
State	Conditional Ca	sh Transfer targeted				
	on th elderly (E	BPC)				
	Education					
	Health					
	Private Pensio	n Plan				
	Education		<40%			
		Medicaments				
Market	Llaalth	Health Insurance				
	Health	Hospitalization				
		Appointments	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			<20%
	Care					
Family Care	Care					
HH per capita Expend	iture Quintile		2, 3 and 4	4 and 5	1	2 and 3
Type of Labor Contrac	t		Informal	Formal	Do not work	Formal and Informa
Ocupation	Private Employ	/ee	*****			
ooupution	Public Employ	700 700				
	Domestic Employ	lovee				
	Rural Tempora	ry Employee				
	Employer					
	Self-Employed					
	Apprentice Lin	naid or Own-				
	Do not work					
Parent Status	DO HOL WOIK		Mother		Not a mother	Not a mother
Pelative Frequency			21 50%	16 60%	35 00%	10 00%
Relative Frequency			21.30%	10.00%	33.00%	10.00%

Figure 6 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 25 to 59 years old Females in Brazil 2008-2009

Figures 8 and 9 summarize the last age group comprised by individuals aged 60 years or more. The main characteristic of this age group is that the "Vulnerable" profile is highly "decommodified", that is to say, the population receives cash transfers (BPC and/or CCT "Bolsa Familia" or the one minimum wage pensions). Among femaies, one profile is characterized by workers (informal or formal). Among males, two profiles were characterized as workers (informal and formal).

Variables		-	Profiles				
			Family	Market	Vulnerable	State	
	One Person H	Н					
	One Parent wi	th Child					
Family Arrangements	Couple One In	come no Child					
	Couple One In	come with Child					
	Couple Double	e Income no Child					
	Couple Double	e Income with Child					
	Other Family	Arrangement					
	Day Care or P	re-School					
Course Currently	Primary						
Course currently	Secondary						
Frequenting	College or Uni	versity					
	None						
	None, or never	r attended school					
Total Cabaaling	1 to 4						
Total Schooling	5 to 8		*******	****			
(in years of Study)	9 to 11						
	12 or more						
	Pensions: 1 N	linimum Wage					
	Pensions: Oth	er Values					
	Conditional Ca	sh Transfer targeted					
0	on children (B	olsa Família)					
State	Conditional Cash Transfer targeted						
	on th elderly (BPC)						
	Education						
	Health						
	Private Pensio	n Plan					
	Education				<40%		
	***********	Medicaments					
Market	Lleelth	Health Insurance					
	Health	Hospitalization					
		Appointments	<20%		<20%		
	Care						
Family Care	Care						
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile		1, 2 and 3	5	2, 3 and 4	1, 2, 3 and 4	
Type of Labor Contrac	t		Do not Work	Formal	Informal	Informal	
Ocupation	Private Emplo	Vee					
	Public Employ	/ee					
	Domestic Emi	olovee					
	Rural Tempora	arv Employee		*****			
	Employer						
	Self-Employed	•					
		onaid or Own-					
	Do not work						
Parent Status	DO NOT WORK		Not a father	Eather	Fathor	Not a father	
Relative Frequency		*****	10.80%	21 90%	26.80%	17 60%	
					_0.0070		

Figure 7 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 25 to 59 years old Males in Brazil 2008-2009

Figure 8 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 60 years or more Females in Brazil 2008-2009

Variablaa			Profiles					
variables			Family	Vulnerable	Market	State		
	One Person HH							
	One Parent with Child							
	Couple One Income no	o Child						
Family Arrangements	Couple One Income wi	th Child						
	Couple Double Income	no Child						
	Couple Double Income	with Child						
	Other Family Arranger	nent						
	Day Care or Pre-Scho	ol						
Course Currently	Primary							
Eroquenting	Secondary							
riequenting	College or University							
	None							
	None, or never attende	ed school						
Total Schooling	1 to 4							
(in years of Study)	5 to 8							
(in years of Study)	9 to 11							
	12 or more							
	Pensions: 1 Minimum	Wage						
	Pensions: Other Value	ès						
	Conditional Cash Trans	sfer targeted						
State	on children (Bolsa Fan	nília)						
	Conditional Cash Trans	sfer targeted						
	on th elderly (BPC)							
	Education							
	Health							
	Private Pension Plan							
	Education					<40%		
	Mec	licaments				<25%		
Market	Health	Ith Insurance						
	Hos	pitalization						
	Арр	ointments				<20%		
	Care					<70%		
Family Care	Care		no expense	no expense				
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile			1, 2 and 3	4 and 5	2, 3 and 4		
Type of Labor Contract			Informal or Formal					
Ocupation	Private Employee							
	Public Employee							
	Domestic Employee							
	Rural Temporary Empl	oyee						
	Employer							
	Self-Employed							
	Apprentice, Unpaid or	Own-						
	Do not work							
Relative Frequency			13.30%	18.50%	22.20%	27.70%		

Figure 9 – Grade of Membership Profiles of Age Group 60 years or more Males in Brazil 2008-2009

		Profiles					
Variables			Market	Vulnerable	Family	State	
	One Person HH				-		
	One Parent with	Child					
	Couple One Inco	me no Child					
Family Arrangements	Couple One Inco	me with Child					
	Couple Double In	ncome no Child					
	Couple Double In	ncome with Child					
	Other Family Arr	angement					
	Day Care or Pre-	School					
Course Currently	Primary						
	Secondary						
Frequenting	College or Univer	rsity					
	None						
	None, or never a	ttended school					
Total Sahaaling	1 to 4					*****	
	5 to 8						
(in years of Study)	9 to 11						
	12 or more					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	Pensions: 1 Min	imum Wage					
	Pensions: Other Values						
	Conditional Cash	n Transfer targeted					
Stato	on children (Bols	a Família)					
State	Conditional Cash	n Transfer targeted					
	on th elderly (BP	°C)					
	Education						
	Health						
	Private Pension	Plan					
	Education					<40%	
		Medicaments		<25%			
Market	Health	Health Insurance	<50%			<50%	
	rieann	Hospitalization					
		Appointments					
	Care		<70%			<70%	
Family Care	Care				sem gasto		
HH per capita Expendi	ture Quintile		4 and 5	1, 2 and 3	2, 3 and 4	4 and 5	
Type of Labor Contrac	t		Informal or Formal	Do not Work	Informal	Do not Work	
Ocupation	Private Employe	е					
	Public Employee	9					
	Domestic Emplo	yee					
	Rural Temporary	Employee					
	Employer						
	Self-Employed						
	Apprentice, Unpa	aid or Own-					
	Do not work						
Relative Frequency			17.50%	24.90%	16.70%	24.00%	

Source: Authors elaboration.

In Figure 10 we show the relative frequency individuals belonging to the profiles divided by total population in each sample, with percentage represented in the sex-age pyramid, The sex-age pyramids are stratified household's per capita expenditure quintile. We can observe that the "Market" profile (dark blue) is highly predominant among individuals living in households belonging to the highest quintile of per capita expenditure. The "Family" profile (pink) is more

predominant among individuals aged 25-59 in the right size of the population pyramid which is partly due to the prevalence of female headed households. The "Vulnerable" profile (clear blue) can be found in all expenditures quintiles but the highest quintile (with highest per capita household expenditure). Vulnerability is associated with labor market informality and other dimensions that are not completely determined by income stratification, although vulnerable children are more prevalent among the poor segment of households.

Figure 10 – Relative Frequency of groups by age, sex, GoM profiles and Expenditure per capita quintile



The classification of all individuals separated by household per capita expenditure stratification and divided by the profiles developed from a GOM typology describe a snaptshot of the Brazilian heterogeneity in terms of welfare

regime (See Figure 10). "Family" profile is more prevalent among households at the bottom income segments, "Market" is more prevalent among the top quintile of household expenditure, while the "State" segment participates in all social segments, but targeted to different sex-age groups. The "Vulnerable" profile is only absent among individuals in the top quintile of household expenditure. In the fourth quintile it seems that "Vulnerable" means labor market informality, since few children are classified under this profile. "Mixed" profiles classified as others are more prevalent among the two top household expenditure quintiles.

4. Conclusion

Brazilian society has multidimensional combinations of social provision. The profiles are transverse to expenditure quintiles, although state social prevision is prevalent in all income segments, it combines differently with family and market according to the interactions among quintiles of household per capita expenditure, age, and sex. Vulnerability is another feature of this multidimensionality.

The combination of regressive state provision in social security with progressive targeted social assistance and transference to the poor is one heterogeneous side of the Brazilian welfare state.

The other side is the heterogeneity of health and education public services provided. The public-private divide indicates that young adults in the top expenditure quintile may rely on public education for the provision of tertiary education while poorer households would rely on private tertiary education. The provision of private health insurance complements the state universal access to health care. The fact that the Brazilian Universal Welfare State coexists with the private provision of health and education makes the use of private services restricted to people who can pay for the service. The payment is often justified by the difference in services quality.

Two main attributes were identified as closely related to the vulnerable profile. The first is the high labor informality, which is linked to what the literature refers as the 'truncated' welfare state. Second, the provision of personal care to individuals aged

0 to 5 is still precarious in Brazil, leading to the vulnerability of children of poor working mothers in terms of the provision of childcare and/or preschools.

We identified different characteristics of transition among individuals in the 18-24 age group. A group lives with their parents and relies largely on the resources provided by their family to continue its studies ("Market" Profile) while another group already has transitioned to family formation, with the reference person or spouse working in the formal or informal market ("Market2" and "Vulnerable"). Only among young adults in the "Market" profile College enrollment was a typical characteristic. The "Family" profile was more prevalent among male young adults who have not left home, do not work, and are studying in public schools. The prevalence of "Family" among female young adults present similar characteristics, although a higher percentage of women have left their parents' house starting a new family.

REFERENCES:

BARROS, R. P. de, Carvalho, M. C. de. Sobre a Recente Queda da Desigualdade de Renda no Brasil (Nota Técnica). In: BARROS, R. P. de., FOGUEL, M. N., ULYSSEA, G. (Orgs.) **Desigualdade de Renda no Brasil: uma análise da queda recente.** Brasília: Ipea, 2006. 2v. 446p.

ESPING-ANDERSEN, Gøsta. **The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism.** Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1990.

ESPING-ANDERSEN, Gøsta. **Social Foundations of Postindustrial Economies.** New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.

ESPING-ADERSEN, Gøsta. **Why we need a new welfare state?** New York: Oxford University Press, 2002.

FILGUEIRA, Carlos H. **Sobre Revoluciones Ocultas:** la familia en el Uruguay. 1. ed. Montevideo: CEPAL, 1996.

FILGUEIRA, Carlos, PERI, Andrés. **América Latina**: los rostros de la pobreza y sus causas determinantes. Santiago de Chile: Proyecto Regional de Población del Centro Latinoamericano y Caribeño de Demografia (CELADE), Junio de 2004. (Serie Población y Desarrollo)

FILGUEIRA, F. **Welfare and Democracy in Latin America:** The Development, Crises and Aftermath of Universal, Dual and Exclusionary Social States. Prepared for the UNRISD Project on Social Policy and Democratization, 2005.

FILGUEIRA, F. **Cohesión, riesgo y arquitectura de protección social en América Latina.** Santiago de Chile: Cepal, División de Desarollo Social, Julio 2007. (Serie Políticas Sociales)

FILGUEIRA, F., GUTIERREZ, M., PAPADÓPULOS, J. **The coming of age of a mature welfare regime and the challenge of care:** Labour market transformations, second demographic transition and the future of social protection in Uruguay. Geneve: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD), June 2009.

GORNICK, Janet C., MEYERS, Marcia K. **Families that Work:** Policies for Reconciling Parenthood and Employment. Russel Sage Foundation, 2003.

GORNICK Janet C., MEYERS, Marcia K. **Welfare Regimes in Relation to Paid Work and Care:** a view from the United States on Social Protection in the European Countries. RFAS. n.1, p.167-188, 2006.

GORNICK Janet C., MEYERS, Marcia K. (Org.) Gender Equality: Transforming Family Division of Labor, in the Real Utopias Project. Verso, 2009

KERSTENETZKY, C. L. Política social integrada: cenários prospectivos do Estado de Bem Estar Social. In: **Projeto Perspectivas dos Investimentos Sociais no Brasil - PIS.** Belo Horizonte: CEDEPLAR/UFMG, 2010.

LINDERT, K., SKOUFIAS, E., SHAPIRO, J. **Redistributing Income to the Poor and the Rich:** Public Transfers in Latin America and the Caribbean. World Bank Institute: Promoting knowledge and learning for a better world, August 2006.

MANTON, K. G., WOODBURY, M. A., TOLLEY, H. D. Statistical application using fuzzy sets. Nova York: John Wiley & Sons, 1994. 312p.

SORJ, B., FONTES, A. MACHADO, D. C. As políticas e práticas de conciliação entre família e trabalho no Brasil. **Cadernos de Pesquisa.** v.37, n.132, p.573-594, set./dez. 2007.

STEIBER, N., HAAS, B. Employment patterns from a life course perspective in an enlarged Europe: Is it possible to identify national 'life course employment regimes'? In: HAAS, B., STEIBER, N., HARTEL, M., WALLACE, C. (Orgs.) The Relationship between Home and Work in an Enlarged Europe: a quantitative analysis. Viena: Institute for Advanced Studies, Nov. 2006, p. 45-65.